arXiv:hep-th/9902050v1 6 Feb 1999

(1,9)-Spacetime $\longrightarrow$ (1,3)-Spacetime: Reduction $\Longrightarrow U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$

Geoffrey Dixon
gdixon@fas.harvard.edu (until July 1999)
gdixon@7stones.com (thereafter)

31 January 1999

A derivation of the standard symmetry and leptoquark family structure is presented that is more straightforward than a previous derivation [**1**].

Facts and Notation:

- $\mathbf{O}$ - octonions: nonassociative, noncommutative, basis $\{1 = e_0, e_1, ..., e_7\}$;
- $\mathbf{Q}$ - quaternions: associative, noncommutative, basis $\{1 = q_0, q_1, q_2, q_3\}$;
- $\mathbf{C}$ - complex numbers: associative, commutative, basis $\{1, i\}$;
- $\mathbf{R}$ - real numbers.
- $\mathbf{K}_L, \mathbf{K}_R$ - the adjoint algebras of left and right actions of an algebra $\mathbf{K}$ on itself.
- $\mathbf{K}(2)$ - 2x2 matrices over the algebra $\mathbf{K}$ (to be identified with Clifford algebras);
- $\mathcal{CL}(p, q)$ - the Clifford algebra of the real spacetime with signature (p+,q-);
- ${}^2\mathbf{K}$ - 2x1 matrices over the algebra $\mathbf{K}$ (to be identified with spinor spaces);
- $\mathbf{O}_L$ and $\mathbf{O}_R$ are identical, isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}(8)$ (8x8 real matrices), 64-dimensional bases are of the form 1, e_{La}, e_{Lab}, e_{Labc}, or 1, e_{Ra}, e_{Rab}, e_{Rabc}, where, for example, if $x \in \mathbf{O}$, then $e_{Lab}[x] \equiv e_a(e_b x)$, and $e_{Rab}[x] \equiv (xe_a)e_b$ (see [**1**]);
- $\mathbf{Q}_L$ and $\mathbf{Q}_R$ are distinct, both isomorphic to $\mathbf{Q}$, bases $\{1 = q_{L0}, q_{L1}, q_{L2}, q_{L3}\}$ and $\{1 = q_{R0}, q_{R1}, q_{R2}, q_{R3}\}$;
- $\mathbf{C}_L$ and $\mathbf{C}_R$ are identical, both isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}$ (so we only need use $\mathbf{C}$ itself);
- $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$, 8-dimensional;
- $\mathbf{P}_L = \mathbf{C}_L \otimes \mathbf{Q}_L$, isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}(2) \simeq \mathcal{CL}(3, 0) \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(0, 2)$ ($\mathbf{P}$ is the spinor space of $\mathbf{P}_L$, consisting of a pair of Pauli spinors; the doubling is due to the internal action of $\mathbf{Q}_R$, which commutes with $\mathbf{P}_L$ actions);
- $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{Q} \otimes \mathbf{O}$, 64-dimensional;
- $\mathbf{T}_L = \mathbf{C}_L \otimes \mathbf{Q}_L \otimes \mathbf{O}_L$, isomorphic to $\mathbf{C}(16) \simeq \mathcal{CL}(0, 9) \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(0, 8)$ (as was the case with $\mathbf{P}$, the algebra $\mathbf{T}$ is the spinor space of $\mathbf{T}_L$, its dimension twice what is expected due to the internal action of $\mathbf{Q}_R$, the only part of $\mathbf{T}_R$ missing from $\mathbf{T}_L$);
- $\mathbf{P}_L(2) \simeq \mathbf{C}(4) \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(1, 3)$, the Dirac algebra of (1,3)-spacetime (the major difference being that the spinor space, ${}^2\mathbf{P}$, contains an extra internal $SU(2)$ degree of freedom associated with $\mathbf{Q}_R$);
- $\mathbf{T}_L(2) \simeq \mathbf{C}(32) \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(1, 9)$, the Dirac algebra of (1,9)-spacetime (spinor space ${}^2\mathbf{T}$; one internal $SU(2)$).

Some Lie algebras and their bases:

- $so(7)$ - $\{e_{Lab}$: a,b = 1,...,7$\}$;
- $so(6)$ - $\{e_{Lpq}$: p,q = 1,...,6$\}$;
- LG_2 - $\{e_{Lab} - e_{Lcd}$: $e_a e_b - e_c e_d = 0$, a,b,c,d = 1,...,7$\}$;
- LG_2 explicitly (LG_2 is the 14-d Lie algebra of G_2, the automorphism group of **O**):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{L24} - e_{L56}, & e_{L56} - e_{L37}; \\
e_{L35} - e_{L67}, & e_{L67} - e_{L41}; \\
e_{L46} - e_{L71}, & e_{L71} - e_{L52}; \\
e_{L57} - e_{L12}, & e_{L12} - e_{L63}; \\
e_{L61} - e_{L23}, & e_{L23} - e_{L74}; \\
e_{L72} - e_{L34}, & e_{L34} - e_{L15}; \\
e_{L13} - e_{L45}, & e_{L45} - e_{L26};
\end{array}
$$

- $su(3)$ - $\{e_{Lpq} - e_{Lmn}$: $e_p e_q - e_m e_n = 0$, p,q,m,n = 1,...,6$\}$;
- $su(3)$ explicitly (this is the Lie algebra of $SU(3)$, the stability group of e_7, a subgroup of G_2):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{L24} - e_{L56}; & \\
e_{L35} - e_{L41}; & \\
e_{L46} - e_{L52}; & \\
e_{L12} - e_{L63}; & \\
e_{L61} - e_{L23}; & \\
e_{L34} - e_{L15}; & \\
e_{L13} - e_{L45}, & e_{L45} - e_{L26}.
\end{array}
$$

The spinor space of $\mathbf{T}_L \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(1,9)$ is ${}^2\mathbf{T}$. This can be interpreted **[1]** as the direct sum of a family of leptons and quarks, and its antifamily (Dirac spinors, including the righthanded neutrino). The standard symmetry, $U(1) \times SU(2) \times SU(3)$, was derived. Here we shall rederive this symmetry from a different and more accessible direction. The steps taken will be:
1. Reduce the spinor space **T** to **P** (equivalent to reducing (1,9)-Dirac spinors to (1,3)-Dirac spinors);
2. Carry this reduction to $\mathbf{T}_L(2)$ and see what symmetries (bivectors) survive. To make things easier, and because it's all that is necessary, we'll do this by focusing on the "Pauli" algebras, $\mathbf{T}_L \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(0,8)$ and $\mathbf{P}_L \simeq \mathbf{C} \otimes \mathcal{CL}(0,2)$, and their respective spinor spaces, **T** and **P**.

Projection operators and some of their actions:

$$\rho_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm ie_7);\ \rho_{L\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm ie_{L7});\ \rho_{R\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm ie_{R7}); \tag{1}$$

(note that $\rho_+\rho_- = 0;\ \rho_+ + \rho_- = 1$);

$$\rho_{L\pm}[e_7] = \rho_{\pm}e_7 = \rho_{R\pm}[e_7] = e_7\rho_{\pm} = \mp i\rho_{\pm}; \tag{2}$$

$$\rho_{\pm}e_p\rho_{\pm} = \rho_{L\pm}\rho_{R\pm}[e_p] = 0,\ p = 1, ..., 6. \tag{3}$$

In the context developed in **[1]** the spinor spaces $\rho_+\mathbf{T}$ and $\rho_-\mathbf{T}$ are the family and antifamily parts of $\mathbf{T} = \rho_+\mathbf{T} + \rho_+\mathbf{T}$. This partial reduction of $\mathbf{T}$ is insufficient: $\rho_{\pm}\mathbf{T} \neq \mathbf{P}$. We need one further step (performed on the family half of $\mathbf{T}$):

$$\rho_+\mathbf{T}\rho_+ = \rho_{L+}\rho_{R+}[\mathbf{T}] = \rho_+\mathbf{P}. \tag{4}$$

The corresponding reduction on the Pauli algebra $\mathbf{T}_L$ is:

$$\mathbf{T}_L \longrightarrow \rho_{R+}\rho_{L+}\mathbf{T}_L\rho_{L+}\rho_{R+}. \tag{5}$$

A 1-vector basis for $\mathcal{CL}(0,8)$ in $\mathbf{T}_L$, and this same set after reduction, is:

$$\{ie_{L7}q_{Lr},\ e_{Lp}:\ r = 1, 2,\ p = 1, ..., 6\} \longrightarrow \rho_{L+}\rho_{R+}\{q_{Lr}:\ r = 1, 2\}, \tag{6}$$

a 1-vector basis for $\mathcal{CL}(0,2)$ in $\mathbf{P}_L$. In the broader Dirac context this is equivalent to reducing the 1-vectors of $\mathcal{CL}(1,9)$ to those of $\mathcal{CL}(1,3)$.

The 2-vectors of $\mathcal{CL}(1,9)$ form a basis for $so(1,9)$, the Lie algebra of the Lorentz group of (1,9)-spacetime. Using the 1-vector basis in (6), a 2-vector basis for $\mathcal{CL}(0,8)$ (basis for $so(8)$) is:

$$\{q_{L3},\ e_{Lpq},\ ie_{Lp7}q_{Lr}:\ r = 1, 2,\ p, q = 1, ..., 6\}. \tag{7}$$

We'll reduce this set in steps. First,

$$\rho_{L+}\{q_{L3},\ e_{Lpq},\ ie_{Lp7}q_{Lr}:\ r = 1, 2,\ p, q = 1, ..., 6\}\rho_{L+} = \rho_{L+}\{q_{L3},\ e_{Lpq}\}, \tag{8}$$

a basis for $so(2) \times so(6)$. The next step is:

$$\rho_{R+}\rho_{L+}\{q_{L3},\ e_{Lpq}:\ r = 1, 2,\ p, q = 1, ..., 6\}\rho_{R+} = \rho_{R+}\rho_{L+}\{q_{L3},\ ?\}.$$

In particular we need to look at

$$\rho_{R+}e_{Lpq}\rho_{R+}. \tag{9}$$

We'll look at some examples, with $e_pe_q \neq e_7$, then $e_pe_q = e_7$, which will give us the general result. Consider $\rho_{R+}e_{L12}\rho_{R+}$. Because ρ_{L+} commutes with $e_{Lpq}, p, q \neq 7$, $\rho_{L+}e_{Lpq}\rho_{L+} = \rho_{L+}e_{Lpq}$. But ρ_{R+} does not commute with these e_{Lpq}. To see what it does we'll re-express our chosen element e_{L12} as

$$e_{L12} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_{R12} + e_{R4} + e_{R63} + e_{R57}) \tag{10}$$

(see [**1**]). ρ_{R+} commutes with e_{R12} and e_{R63}, but becomes ρ_{R-} when commuted with e_{R4} and e_{R57} (recall: $\rho_{R+}\rho_{R-} = 0$). Therefore,

$$\rho_{R+}e_{L12}\rho_{R+} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_{R+}(-e_{R12} + e_{R63}) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_{R+}(e_{L12} - e_{L63}) \tag{11}$$

(see [**1**] for the last equality).

Finally we need to look at the three terms e_{Lpq} for which $e_pe_q = e_7$. These can be re-expressed:

$$\begin{array}{l} e_{L13} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_{R13} + e_{R7} + e_{R26} + e_{R45}), \\ e_{L26} = \frac{1}{2}(+e_{R13} + e_{R7} - e_{R26} + e_{R45}), \\ e_{L45} = \frac{1}{2}(+e_{R13} + e_{R7} + e_{R26} - e_{R45}). \end{array} \tag{12}$$

All terms in (12) commute with ρ_{R+}, so

$$\rho_{R+}\{e_{L13},\, e_{L26},\, e_{L45}\}\rho_{R+} = \rho_{R+}\{e_{L13},\, e_{L26},\, e_{L45}\}. \tag{13}$$

Another basis for the space spanned by the set $\rho_{R+}\{e_{L13},\, e_{L26},\, e_{L45}\}$ is:

$$\rho_{R+}\{e_{L13} - e_{L26},\ e_{L26} - e_{L45},\ e_{L13} + e_{L26} + e_{L45}\}. \tag{14}$$

But $e_{R7} = \frac{1}{2}(-e_{L7} + e_{L13} + e_{R26} + e_{R45})$, so

$$e_{L13} + e_{L26} + e_{L45} = e_{L7} + 2e_{R7}, \tag{15}$$

which commutes with all the other surviving elements, and therefore generates a copy of $U(1)$.

Taken all together and generalized over all e_{Lpq} these results imply

$$\begin{array}{l} \rho_{R+}\rho_{L+}\{q_{L3},\ e_{Lpq},\ ie_{Lp7}q_{Lr} :\ r = 1,2,\ p,q = 1,...,6\}\rho_{L+}\rho_{R+} \\ \quad = \rho_{R+}\rho_{L+}\{q_{L3},\ e_{Lpq}\}\rho_{R+} \\ \quad = \rho_{L+}\rho_{R+}\{q_{L3},\ e_{L7} + 2e_{R7},\ e_{Lpq} - e_{Lmn} :\ p,q,m,n = 1,...,6,\ e_pe_q - e_me_n = 0\}. \end{array} \tag{16}$$

This is a basis for (see "Some Lie algebras and their bases" above)

$$so(2) \times u(1) \times su(3). \tag{17}$$

The presence of the $U(1)$ generator $e_{L7} + 2e_{R7}$ ensures that the quarks charges will be $-\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$, given an electron charge of -1. The $so(2)$ above is part of $so(1,3)$, a Lorentz generator.

Including the $su(2)$ from $\mathbf{Q}_R$, the total symmetry reduction is

$$so(1,9) \times su(2) \longrightarrow so(1,3) \times (u(1) \times su(2) \times su(3)). \tag{18}$$

As mentioned, with respect to the "internal" symmetry $u(1) \times su(2) \times su(3)$, the spinor space ${}^2\mathbf{T}$ transforms as the direct sum of a family and antifamily of quarks and leptons (see [**1**] for the derivation of charges).

Final notes:

- $u(1) \times su(2) \times su(3)$ is an internal symmetry, meaning it commutes with $so(1,3)$. However, $su(3)$ is a subalgebra of $so(1,9)$. It is a spacetime symmetry in this larger context; $su(2)$ is not.
- There are two other important distinctions to be briefly mentioned. A full resolution of the identity of $\mathbf{T}$ (the $\rho_\pm$ resolve the identity of $\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{O}$) contains four members. With these $\mathbf{T}$ can be reduced to the $su(2)$ vector level, but only to the $su(3)$ multiplet level.
- And the idempotents of the resolution are $su(3)$ invariant, but not $su(2)$ invariant.

These distinctions implied in **[1]** that $su(2)$ breaks and is chiral, while $su(3)$ is exact and nonchiral.

References:

[1] G.M. Dixon, *Division Algebras: Octonions, Quaternions, Complex Numbers, and the Algebraic Design of Physics*, (Kluwer, 1994).

[2] G.M. Dixon, www.7stones.com/Homepage/history.html